

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/520,856	SAKAMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John P. Zimmermann	2809	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John P. Zimmermann.

(3)_____.

(2) David A. Tucker - Applicant's Attorney.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 07 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-10.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

**PATRICK ASSOUD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: This interview was conducted in an effort to have the applicant approve an Examiner's Amendment placing the application in condition for allowance. Examiner contacted Applicant's Attorney, via phone on 30 May 2007 and detailed the scope and content of the proposed Examiner's Amendment. The four points discussed were: a) Amending Claim 1 to include a narrowing phrase previously found in Claim 4. b) Amending Claim 6 to include a narrowing phrase previously found in Claim 4. c) Cancelling Claim 4. d) Cancelling previously withdrawn Claims 8-10. Applicant's Attorney agreed to convey the conditions to the Applicant and provide an answer to Examiner by AM of 08 June 2007. On 07 June 2007, Applicant's Attorney called Examiner and relayed the Applicant's desire to accept the proposed Examiner's Amendment as put forth with no alterations.